Overview
ooooo

Demographics
oooooooooo

Earnings
ooo

Supply Side
ooooo

Macro
o

Future steps
oo

OG-PHL: Current Calibration

Jason DeBacker [1]   **Richard W. Evans** [2]

[1] University of South Carolina, Department of Economics

[2] Abundance Institute, Open Research Group, Inc.

August 12, 2024
United Nations, Philippines

OG-PHL

- OG-PHL is a calibration of the OG-Core model.

OG-PHL: A Calibration

What it means for OG-PHL to be a "calibration" of OG-Core...

- OG-Core has all the underlying theory (e.g., different households, an arbitrary number of production sectors)
- But the parameters of the model are set to represent the Philippines economy
- E.g.,
  - The model matches Philippines' gini coefficient for the distribution of income
  - The size of production sectors represent the relative size in the Philippines economy
  - Policy parameters are set to represent Philippines' tax system

NOTE: Any of these parameters can be changed to represent a counter factual Philippines...

Model Dimensions

- OG-Core allows for arbitrary sizes in the various model dimensions. In OG-PHL, the defaults are:
  - Number of labor skill groups, J: 7
    - Representing the 0-25%, 25-50%, 50-70%, 70-80%, 80-90%, 90-99%, Top 1%
  - Age at which economically active, E: 20
  - Number of periods representing ages E to 100, S: 80
    - With this, a model period represents one year
  - Number of model periods until assume reach steady-state, T: $4 \times S = 320$
    - Note, it's helpful to make T a bit larger than you think it needs to be since you need the economy to settle down before this point, but only computational cost if set it too high
  - Number of consumption goods, I: 1 (5)
  - Number of production industries, M: 1 (7)

OG-PHL Calibration

- The following parameters have been calibrated specifically to Philippines:
  - Demographics
  - Lifetime earnings processes for heterogeneous households
  - Firm production functions (labor share of output)
  - Household consumption parameters (expenditure shares on differentiated goods)
  - Input-output mapping between firm output and consumption categories
  - Macro parameters (long run growth rate, gov't interest rate haircut)

OG-PHL Calibration

- Other parameters such as:
  - Household preference parameters
  - Elasticity of substitution between capital and labor
- Are set to standard values used in the literature, due to a lack of research (to our knowledge) on these parameters in the Philippines context

Full documentation of the OG-PHL calibration:
https://EAPD-DRB.github.io/OG-PHL/

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
●○○○○○○○○○○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

OG-PHL Demographics

- Demographic parameters include:
  - Fertility rates by age
  - Mortality rates age
  - Age distribution of the population in an initial period
  - *Not* immigration (to ensure things add up, immigration computed as a residual)

- The UN World Population Prospects data provide all of these for most countries from present with forecasts through 2100!

Population Dynamics

The population evolves according to the following laws of motion, where $\omega_{s,t}$ are the number of age s households at time t:

$$\omega_{1,t+1} = (1 - \rho_0) \sum_{s=1}^{E+S} f_s \omega_{s,t} + i_1 \omega_{1,t} \quad \forall t$$

$$\omega_{s+1,t+1} = (1 - \rho_s)\omega_{s,t} + i_{s+1}\omega_{s+1,t} \quad \forall t \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \le s \le E + S - 1$$

- The age-specific fertility rates, f_s, mortality rates, ρ_s, and immigration rates, i_s affect the distribution each period
- Given that these rates are constant, the population distribution will converge to a steady-state distribution

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○●○○○○○○○○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

Philippines: Fertility and Mortality

Fertility Rates

Mortality Rates

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○●○○○○○○○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

Philippines: Demographics, immigration rates

Source: United Nations, World Population Prospects

Philippines: Demographics, pop. distribution

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○○○●○○○○○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

Compare to India: Demographics, pop. distribution

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○○○○○●○○○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

Compare to USA: Demographics, pop. distribution

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○○○○○●○○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

Compare to South Africa: Demographics, pop. distribution

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○○○○○○○●○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

Population Distribution Comparison

Philippines

India

United States

South Africa

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○○○○○○○○●

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

Demographics, pop. growth

Earnings Ability

- Households are heterogeneous earnings ability/effective labor units
    - There is a (endogenously determined) common wage rate per effective unit of labor, but households vary in the effective units of labor per unit of labor supply, giving rise to differences in hourly earnings

    $$c_{j,s,t} + b_{j,s+1,t+1} = (1 + r_t)b_{j,s,t} + w_t e_{j,s} n_{j,s,t} + ...$$

    - Earnings ability varies across households and over the lifecycle within a household
- There is no earnings risk: while earnings vary over the lifecycle, this process is completely deterministic
- Effective labor units are homogeneous from the point of view of firms
- No human capital accumulation decisions: earnings ability profiles are exogenous

Calibrating Lifetime Earnings Profiles

- Ideally, one can estimate the lifetime earnings profiles from microdata that represents a long panel of households (see e.g., Fullerton and Rogers (1993) or DeBacker et al. (2016))
- However, these data are often hard to come by
- We've therefore devised a reasonable approximation that requires only minimal data:
    - Begin with the earnings profiles for U.S. household estimated by DeBacker et al. (2016)
    - Using single parameter function, adjust the shape of the profiles for each earnings group to match the gini coefficient in Philippines

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○○○○○○○○

Earnings
○○●

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

OG-PHL Lifetime Earnings Profiles

USA Gini = 41.0

Earnings Profiles, USA

PHL Gini = 40.7

Earnings Profiles, PHL

Firm Production Technology

- Use national accounts data to calibrate the firm production function:

$$Y_{m,t} = F(K_{m,t}, K_{g,m,t}, L_{m,t})$$
$$\equiv Z_{m,t}\left[(\gamma_m)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_m}}(K_{m,t})^{\frac{\varepsilon_m-1}{\varepsilon_m}} + (\gamma_{g,m})^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_m}}(K_{g,m,t})^{\frac{\varepsilon_m-1}{\varepsilon_m}} + \right.$$
$$\left. (1 - \gamma_m - \gamma_{g,m})^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_m}}(e^{g_y t}L_{m,t})^{\frac{\varepsilon_m-1}{\varepsilon_m}}\right]^{\frac{\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_m-1}} \quad \forall m, t$$

- Capital share of output: $\gamma_m = 0.588$
- Set $\varepsilon_m = 1.0$ for all sectors (Cobb-Douglas production)

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○○○○○○○○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○●○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

Mapping Production Goods to Consumption Goods

- Model households consume differentiated goods:

$$c_{j,s,t} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{I} \left(c_{i,j,s,t} - c_{min,i} \right)^{\alpha_i} \quad \forall j, s, t \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{I} \alpha_i = 1$$

- Consumption goods are composites of production goods, determined via fixed proportions:

$$\tilde{p}_{i,t} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi_{i,m} \tilde{p}_{m,t} \quad \forall i, t$$

Overview
00000

Demographics
0000000000

Earnings
000

Supply Side
00●00

Macro
0

Future steps
00

Mapping Production Goods to Consumption Goods

- This leaves two parameter objects to calibrate:
  1. Household preferences (expenditure shares) over the differentiated production goods, α_i
  2. A matrix representing the shares of each output good in the composition of each consumption good, Π

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○○○○○○○○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○●○

Macro
○

Future steps
○○

Data Mapping Production Goods to Consumption Goods

- Data for these are contained in standard "social accounting matrices" used in CGE modeling
- These are readily available for most countries from GTAP or other sources
- For the PHL calibration, we use data from the International Food Policy Research Institute

Calibration of IO Matrix and Consumption Shares

Consumption-Production Bridge Matrix

	Agr & Fish	Mining	Util	Cons.	Trade & Trans	Serv	Manu
Food	0.120	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.047	0.153	0.679
Energy & Extraction	0.005	0.009	0.113	0.057	0.066	0.206	0.543
Non-durables	0.023	0.013	0.012	0.315	0.130	0.183	0.323
Durables	0.004	0.005	0.013	0.048	0.067	0.120	0.743
Services	0.035	0.004	0.004	0.045	0.206	0.520	0.185

Consumption Expenditure Shares

Food	Energy & Extraction	Non-durables	Durables	Services
0.357	0.014	0.021	0.103	0.505

Macroeconomic parameters:

- Long run growth rate, $g_y = 3.6\%$ (growth in GDP per capita from 2000 to 2019)
- Initial period debt-to-GDP ratio, 60%
- Open economy parameters:
    - Initial percentage of debt held by foreigners, 14.6%
    - Percentage of newly issued debt purchased by foreigners, 14.6%
    - Openness of capital flow (0 to 1 scale), 0.9
- Government spending:
    - Non-pension transfers to GDP, 9.7%
    - Government consumption expenditures to GDP, 14.2%

To come:

- More detail with tax and benefit system
- Match distribution of wealth
- Calibrate labor supply to match rates in Philippines
  - Note: model is of cohorts of agents, so unemployment not directly modeled, but we can get at it through, e.g., low labor supply of the young

Overview
○○○○○

Demographics
○○○○○○○○○○

Earnings
○○○

Supply Side
○○○○○

Macro
○

Future steps
○●

Matching labor supply, US Example